

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
Plaintiff,
v.
DALE L. SHIPLEY, et al.,
Defendants.

Case No. [13-cv-05721-WHO](#)

ORDER RE DISCOVERY DISPUTE

Re: Dkt. No. 177

Pursuant to my Standing Order For Civil Cases, intervenors' discovery dispute (Dkt. No. 177) should have been submitted as a joint statement of five pages or less and not as a noticed motion.

Accordingly, the May 13, 2015 hearing on that motion is VACATED.

Defendants DGSi Technologies shall file a five page response, double-spaced, to the discovery dispute only on or before **Monday April 13, 2015**. If I find that further briefing or a hearing is necessary, I will advise the parties of that. Otherwise, the discovery dispute will be deemed submitted. I will address the sanctions motion at a later time if appropriate.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: April 7, 2015


WILLIAM H. ORRICK
United States District Judge